

2018 Performance Measure Results Community Colleges

Three-Year Graduation and Tre Fall 2011-Fall 2013 Fall 2012-Fall 2014 Top third of peers In top third of peers Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Percent of Attempted Courses Fall 2013-Fall 2016 Top third of peers In top third of peers In top third of peers In top third of peers Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Pass Rates on Professional Lice Fall 2015-Fall 2017 Fall 2016-Fall 2018 Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved Did not improve, not at benchmark	37.6% 39.5% 44.8%	74.9% 77.9%	35.6% 39.6% 44.8% 75.3% 76.0%	36.3% 38.6% 44.8%	39.8% 41.4% 44.8%	34.7% 32.8% 44.8%	39.3% 41.5% 44.8%	47.0% 50.1% 44.8%	40.8% 41.1% 44.8%	39.3% 39.1% 44.8%	25.9% 27.5% 44.8%	39.8% 43.4% 44.8%	32.1% 33.4%
Fall 2012-Fall 2014 Top third of peers In top third of peers Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Percent of Attempted Courses Fall 2013-Fall 2015 Fall 2014-Fall 2016 Top third of peers In top third of peers Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Pass Rates on Professional Lice Fall 2015-Fall 2017 Fall 2016-Fall 2018 Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved	39.5% 44.8% ✓ S Successfully 77.5% 77.4%	36.6% 44.8% ✓ 74.9% 75.3%	39.6% 44.8% ✓	38.6% 44.8%	41.4%	32.8%	41.5%	50.1%	41.1%	39.1%	27.5%	43.4%	33.4%
Top third of peers In top third of peers Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Percent of Attempted Courses Fall 2013-Fall 2015 Fall 2014-Fall 2016 Top third of peers In top third of peers Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Pass Rates on Professional Lice Fall 2015-Fall 2017 Fall 2016-Fall 2018 Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved	44.8% S Successfully 77.5% 77.4%	74.9% 75.3%	44.8% ✓ 75.3%	44.8%									
In top third of peers Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Percent of Attempted Courses Fall 2013-Fall 2015 Fall 2014-Fall 2016 Top third of peers In top third of peers Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Pass Rates on Professional Lice Fall 2015-Fall 2017 Fall 2016-Fall 2018 Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved	S Successfully 77.5% 77.4%	74.9% 75.3%	√ 75.3%	√	44.8%	44.8%	44.8%	44.8% ✓	44.8%	44.8%	44.8%	11 90/	
Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Percent of Attempted Courses Fall 2013-Fall 2015 Fall 2014-Fall 2016 Top third of peers In top third of peers Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Pass Rates on Professional Lice Fall 2015-Fall 2017 Fall 2016-Fall 2018 Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved	S Successfully 77.5% 77.4%	75.3%	75.3%		√	✓	√	✓				44.0/0	44.8%
Percent of Attempted Courses Fall 2013-Fall 2015 Fall 2014-Fall 2016 Top third of peers In top third of peers Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Pass Rates on Professional Lice Fall 2015-Fall 2017 Fall 2016-Fall 2018 Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved	S Successfully 77.5% 77.4%	75.3%	75.3%		√	✓	√	,			,	,	
Percent of Attempted Courses Fall 2013-Fall 2015 Fall 2014-Fall 2016 Top third of peers In top third of peers Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Pass Rates on Professional Lice Fall 2015-Fall 2017 Fall 2016-Fall 2018 Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved	77.5% 77.4%	75.3%				•	•	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓
Fall 2013-Fall 2015 Fall 2014-Fall 2016 Top third of peers In top third of peers Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Pass Rates on Professional Lice Fall 2015-Fall 2017 Fall 2016-Fall 2018 Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved	77.5% 77.4%	75.3%								V			
Fall 2014-Fall 2016 Top third of peers In top third of peers Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Pass Rates on Professional Lice Fall 2015-Fall 2017 Fall 2016-Fall 2018 Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved	77.4%	75.3%											
Top third of peers In top third of peers Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Pass Rates on Professional Lice Fall 2015-Fall 2017 Fall 2016-Fall 2018 Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved			76 00/	70.5%	84.4%	71.2%	73.6%	83.0%	72.1%	74.2%	68.3%	77.9%	74.2%
In top third of peers Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Pass Rates on Professional Lice Fall 2015-Fall 2017 Fall 2016-Fall 2018 Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved	77.9% ✓	77.9%		70.6%	85.3%	72.2%	74.8%	83.4%	71.4%	74.0%	69.5%	78.0%	75.6%
Improved Did not improve, not in top third of peers Pass Rates on Professional Lice Fall 2015-Fall 2017 Fall 2016-Fall 2018 Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved	✓		77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%
Did not improve, not in top third of peers Pass Rates on Professional Lice Fall 2015-Fall 2017 Fall 2016-Fall 2018 Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved	✓				✓			✓				✓	
Pass Rates on Professional Lico Fall 2015-Fall 2017 Fall 2016-Fall 2018 Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	,		✓	✓	✓
Fall 2015-Fall 2017 Fall 2016-Fall 2018 Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved									✓	✓			
Fall 2016-Fall 2018 Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved	ensure Exams	(priority me	easure)										
Benchmark Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved	96.4%	97.4%	91.3%	90.0%	95.2%	93.4%	93.1%	96.1%	97.8%	92.4%	95.6%	94.9%	87.6%
Meets or exceeds benchmark Improved	99.2%	98.3%	91.8%	91.8%	95.1%	95.2%	93.6%	96.4%	97.2%	94.0%	95.6%	95.2%	86.8%
Improved	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%
•	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Did not improve, not at benchmark	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	
•													✓
Non-Core Expenditures as a Po	ercent of Tota	l Expenditur	res										
Fall 2013-Fall 2015	9.7%	24.2%	30.0%	24.1%	16.8%	32.6%	13.9%	44.1%	12.0%	16.7%	23.6%	26.2%	19.5%
Fall 2014-Fall 2016	10.7%	23.1%	32.8%	23.6%	15.7%	32.0%	13.8%	42.2%	11.7%	17.4%	24.5%	26.1%	19.2%
Top third of peers	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%
In top third of peers	✓				✓		✓		✓	✓			
Improved		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓
Did not improve, not in top third of peers			✓								✓		
Tuition and Fees as a Percent	of Median Far	nily Income											
Fall 2013-Fall 2015	7.4%	6.1%	5.1%	5.0%	7.0%	7.5%	6.6%	7.1%	7.9%	4.6%	5.7%	7.0%	8.1%
Fall 2014-Fall 2016	7.3%	6.3%	5.2%	5.0%	7.1%	7.4%	6.8%	7.3%	8.1%	4.6%	5.8%	7.1%	8.6%
Median of peers	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%
Below national median	√ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	√ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	√ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	√	√ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	√ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	√ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	√ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	71070	√ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	√ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	√ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7.070
Improved	✓					✓							
Did not improve, above national median									✓				✓
Graduate Outcomes (priority	measure)												
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		0.4.001	20.461	22.22	24.001	27.00	64.504	6 1 60/	00.50/	05.00/	25.22	22.22	22 72
Successful Outcomes – 2015-16 Grads	89.7%	94.2%	89.1%	89.9%	94.0%	97.0%	91.3%	94.0%	90.5%	95.0%	85.0%	92.2%	90.7%
Successful Outcomes – 2016-17 Grads	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
Benchmark	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%
At or above benchmark													
Improved Did not improve, not at benchmark													
Community College Tetals													
Community College Totals Measures Made		2/2 priority	2/2 priority	2/2 priority	2/2 priority	1/2 priority	2/2 priority	2/2 priority	2/2: ''	1/2 : ::			
IVICASUI ES IVIAUE	2/2 priority	_, _ _ , ,	_, _ ,,,,,,,,,	_, , ,	_,	_, ,		Z/Z DITUITEV	2/2 priority	1/2 priority	2/2 priority	2/2 priority	1/2 priority
	2/2 priority 4/5 overall	5/5 overall	4/5 overall	5/5 overall	5/5 overall	4/5 overall	5/5 overall	5/5 overall	2/2 priority 3/5 overall	1/2 priority 3/5 overall	2/2 priority 4/5 overall	2/2 priority 5/5 overall	1/2 priority 3/5 overall

2018 Performance Measure Results

Public Universities and State Technical College

	Harris-Stowe State University	Lincoln University	Missouri State University	Missouri Southern State University	Missouri Western State University	Northwest Missouri State University	Southeast Missouri State University	University of Central Missouri	Truman State University	University of Missouri System		State Technical College
Completions Per Full-Time Equ	ivalent Stud	ent (priority	measure)								Completions Per FTE	
FY 2014-FY 2016	18.2	25.8	32.6	35.0	30.6	36.6	29.7	42.9	33.6	38.9	FY 2014-FY 2016	67.0
FY 2015-FY 2017	18.2	24.0	33.0	35.8	31.0	37.7	29.8	50.5	33.4	40.4	FY 2015-FY 2017	66.2
Benchmark	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	Benchmark	25.0
Meets or exceeds benchmark			✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓
Improved	✓ (W)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓		
Did not improve, not at benchmark		✓										
Pass Rates on Learning Assessr	nents or Pro	fessional Lice	ensure Exan	ns (priority n	neasure)						Graduation Rate (priority measure)	
FY 2015-FY 2017	18.9%	54.3%	99.8%	96.4%	54.9%	73.6%	88.2%	68.8%	73.2%	94.8%	Fall 2010-Fall 2012	60.6%
FY 2016-FY 2018	19.3%	53.9%	100.0%	94.3%	55.5%	74.5%	89.4%	68.5%	75.3%	94.4%	Fall 2011-Fall 2013	62.6%
Benchmark	50.0%	50.0%	90.0%	90.0%	50.0%	60.0%	90.0%	60.0%	70.0%	90.0%	Top Third of Peers	59.1%
Meets or exceeds benchmark		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓
Improved	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓		✓			√
Did not improve, not at benchmark												
Percent of Total Expenditures	on Core Miss	sion									Major Field Assessment Pass Rate (priori	ty measure)
FY 2013-FY 2015	49.5%	62.1%	68.4%	57.6%	63.2%	63.5%	61.8%	64.7%	69.0%	74.6%	FY 2015-FY 2017	88.0%
FY 2014-FY 2016	48.3%	60.0%	68.3%	58.7%	64.2%	62.8%	61.9%	65.5%	68.9%	73.4%	FY 2016-FY 2018	88.1%
Top third of peers	57.9%	57.0%	60.3%	63.0%	62.9%	59.5%	61.8%	62.4%	61.5%	71.0%	Benchmark	60.0%
At or above top third		✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓
Improved				✓	✓		✓	✓				✓
Did not improve, not in top third of peers	✓											
Change in Salary Expenditures	Compared t	o Median Ho	usehold Inc	ome							Core as a Percent of Total Expendit	ures
Change in salaries exp. per FTE, FY14-16 to 15-17	-2.2%	-0.2%	-0.3%	-2.4%	6.6%	4.1%	4.1%	1.3%	0.7%	0.7%	FY 2013-FY 2015	70.3%
Change in Median Household Income (MHI) Salary expenditures did not increase	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5% ✓	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	FY 2014-FY 2016 Benchmark	70.6% 61.9%
Salary expenditures grew more slowly than MHI	✓	✓	✓	✓				✓	✓	✓	At or above top third	✓
Salary expenditures increased and faster than					✓	✓	✓				Improved	✓
MHI											Did not improve, not in top third of peers	
Net Tuition Revenue per Full-T	ime Fauivale	ant Student (`omnared to	n Median Ho	usehold Incor	me					Tuition & Fees as a Percent of Median Fa	mily Income
			-					3 = .=		4		
Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2014-FY 2016	\$4,579	\$6,337	\$6,460.5	\$5,709	\$6,679	\$5,643	\$6,049	\$7,171	\$4,966	\$9,237	FY 2014-FY 2016	11.6%
Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2015-FY 2017	\$4,619	\$6,544	\$6,542	\$5,719	\$6,737	\$5,853	\$6,106	\$7,147	\$4,914	\$9,452	FY 2015-FY 2017	11.3%
Change in Net Tuition Revenue/FTE Change in MHI	0.9% 3.5%	3.3% 3.5%	1.3% 3.5%	0.2% 3.5%	0.9% 3.5%	3.7% 3.5%	1.0% 3.5%	-0.3% 3.5%	-1.0% 3.5%	2.3% 3.5%	Median of peers	
Net tuition revenue decreased	5.5/0	3.370	5.5/0	5.5%	5.370	3.370	5.5/0	5.5/0	5.5/0	3.3%	Below national median	
New tuition grew more slowly than MHI	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	· ✓	· ✓	✓	Improved or maintained	√
Net tuition increased and faster than MHI						✓					Did not improve, above national median	
Graduate Outcomes (priority n	neasure)										Graduate Outcomes (priority meas	ure)
		76.69/	01.40/	72.10/	QF 20/	00.50/	74.50/	0.4.60/	77.50/	92.40/		
Successful Outcomes – 2016-17 Grads Successful Outcomes – 2017-18 Grads	75.2% TBD	76.6% TBD	81.4%	72.1% TBD	85.2% TBD	88.5% TBD	74.6% TBD	84.6% TBD	77.5% TBD	82.4% TBD	FY 2014-FY 2016 FY 2015-FY 2017	95.6% 96.4%
Successful Outcomes – 2017-18 Grads Benchmark	75.0%	75.0%	TBD 75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	FY 2015-FY 2017 Benchmark	75.0%
At or above benchmark	73.0%	73.070	73.070	/3.0/0	73.070	73.070	73.070	73.070	75.070	75.076	Deficilitation	/J.U/0
Improved												✓
Did not improve, not at benchmark												
Public University and State Tec	chnical Colleg	ge Totals										
Measures Made	2/2 priority	1/2 priority	2/2 priority	2/2 priority	2/2 priority	2/2 priority	2/2 priority	2/2 priority	2/2 priority	2/2 priority		3/3 priority
	4/5 overall	4/5 overall	5/5 overall	5/5 overall	4/5 overall	3/5 overall	4/5 overall	5/5 overall	5/5 overall	5/5 overall		6/6 overall
	1 TBD	1 TBD	1 TBD	1 TBD	1 TBD	1 TBD	1 TBD	1 TBD	1 TBD	1 TBD		0 TBD
	1100	1,00	1100	1 1 U U	1 100	1 100	1 100	1,00	1 100	1100		0.100